



UNIVERSITY OF AZAD JAMMU AND KASHMIR MUZAFFARABAD

(Name of the College/Institution/Department/Branch) PERFORMANCE EVALUATION REPORT FOR THE PERIOD FROM:____ PART I Name (in block letters) _____Date of entry in Service_____ Date of Birth_ 2. Grade with present pay_____ 3. Post held during the period_____ 4. Academic Qualifications ____ 5. Knowledge of Languages (Please Indicate Proficiency in speaking (S), Reading (R) and 6. writing (W)_____ Hobbies_____ 7. Training Received: 8. Dates Name of Institution and country Name of course attended From Job Description (Set out broadly in order of importance the main duties performed Also mention any special work assigned during the period).



10.	D - 1	served
117	Petrod	CATUAN

- (a) in present Post(b) under reporting officer
- 11. Date of last annual medical check up.

The rating in Part II. III & IV should be recorded by initialling the appropriate box. The ratings denoted by alphabets is as follows:

'Al' Very Good. 'A' Good. 'B' Average, 'C' Below Average. 'D' poor.

For uniform interpretation of qualities listed in these parts two extreme shades are mentioned against each item. Please see para 7 of instruction.

PART II

PERSONAL QUALITIES

	발표 보기를 하다 가는 어때를 했다.	AI-	A	В	C.	D	
1. Intelligence	Exceptionally bright Excellent comprehension						Dull Slow
Confidence and will power	Exceptionally confident and resolute						Uncertain Hesitant
3. Emotional stability	Mature Balanced						Unstable; immature
4. Adaptability	Alert & highly responsible		,				Rigid, inflexible
5. Understanding & tolerance	Considerate & Cooperative						Lacks ability to appreciate other's point of view unsympathetic.
6. Appearance & bearing	Creates excellent impression.						Clumsy, Unimpressive
7. OVERALL GRADING	G IN PART II						

PART III

	ATTITU	DES				
*Knowledge of Islam	Well read			J. 2		Narrow and superficial
2. *Attitude towards Islamic Ideology	Deeply motivated, Enlightened.	,				Indifferent, Intolerant
3. Integrity (a) General (b) Intellectual	Imeproachable Honest & straight Forward					Unscrupulous Devious Sycophant
4. Acceptance of responsibility	Always prepared to take on responsibility even in difficult cases		-			Reluctant to take on responsibility will avoid i whenever possible.
5. Ability to produce constructive ideas	Full of ideas Always comes up with ingenious solution to problems fertile.					Dull has to be told what to think.

^{*}In case non-muslims the entries will refer to their own religion.

			AI	Α	В	С	D	
6.	Foresight	Anticipates problems and plans ahead		,				Handles problems only after they arise.
7.	Initiative & Drive	Bold and enterprising dynamic						Timid and diffident.
8.	Reliability under pressure	Imperturbable and exceptionally reliable at all times.						Confused and easily Flustered even under normal pressures.
9.	Judgement	Makes consistently sound proposals/decions.						Lacks balance and consistence Immature.
10.	Financial Responsiblity	Exercises due care discipline.			7			Irresponsible.
11.	Perseverance and devotion to duty	Resolute carries a task through to the end						Negligent and disinter ested.
12.	Relations with (a) Superiors	Cooperative well liked and trusted			4 6 1/2		10.1 1 10	Un-cooperative; does not inspire confidence
7	(b) Colleagues	Works well in a team						Difficult colleague
	(c) Subordinates	Courteous and effective inspires confidence						Brusque & intolerent does not earn respect.
13.	Behaviour with public	Courteous and helpful	1	- 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1		I		Haughty Unsympathetic and ill behaved.

PART IV

PROFICIENCY IN JOB							
Power of expression (a) written	Always precise, clear and well set out		-			Clumsy & vague	
(b) Oral	Puts across convincingly & concisely		÷ .			Ineffective	
2. Knowledge of work	Has a thorough grasp of the knowledge relevant to his job.					Does not know enough about the present job.	
3. Analytical ability	Picks out these entails without wasting time on irrelevant details.					Seldom sees below the surface of a problem.	
4. Supervision & Guidance	Organises & uses staff and other Resources effectively					Lacks control Ineffactive	
5. Ability to take decision	Very logical and decisive					Indecisive; vacillating.	
6. Work (a) Output	Always uptodate, accumulates no arrears	,				Always behind schedule very slow disposal	
(b) Quality	Always produces work of exceptionally high quality					Generally produces work of poor quality	
7. OVERALL GRADIN	G IN PART IV.						

PART VI Comparing him with other officers of the same level and keeping in view the overall gradings in Parts II. III and IV, give your general assessment of the officer by initialing the appropriate box below Overall Grading By Reporting By Counter signing Officer Officer (i) Equalled by very few officers (Very Good) (ii) Better than the majority of officers (Good) (iii) Equals the majority of officers (Average) (iv) Meets bare minimum standards (Below Average) (v) Unsatisfactory (Poor) (b) Fitness for promotion By Reporting By Counter signing Officer Officer Fit for accelerated promotion Fit for promotion in his turn (iii) Not yet fit for promotion (iv) Unlikely to progress further Name of the Reporting Officer Signature (Capital Letters) Designation _ Date.

PART VII

(a) REMARKS OF THE COUNTERSIGNING OFFICER	
Please report on the aspects not touched upon by the reporting officer. If you disagree of assessment of the reporting Officer, please give reasons therefor. You should also indicate frequently you have seen work of the officer reported upon. If the officer has been assessed promotion would you be prepared to accept his in the higher grade. If no, please give your fee	ih iha 5 heru hi tea acusa.
NameSignature (Capital Letters)	and the same of
Designation Date	
(b) REMARKS OF THE SECOND COUNTERSIGNING OFFICER (IF ANY)	
(5) ADMARAS OF THE SECOND COUNTERSIGNING OFFICER (IF AMT)	
NameSignature	
(Capital Letters)	
DesignationDate	
PART VIII	
Adverse remarks, if any, communicated vide	
Date	COLUMN AND
2. Decision on representation if any	-question
The second secon	
	monaco e e
	200720000
·	
	CONTROL OF

INSTRUCTIONS FOR FILLING UP THE ACR FORMS

- (I) ACR is the most important record for the assessment of an officer. At the same time the
 quality of ACR is a measure of the competence of the Reporting Officer and
 Countersigning Officer. It is therefore, essential that utmost care is exercised by all
 Reporting and Countersigning Officer
 - (ii) The Reporting and Countersigning officer should be:
 - (a) as objective as possible; and
 - (b) clear and direct, not ambiguous or evasive in their remarks.
 - (iii) The over-riding importance of Part IV should be clearly understood in the overall grading.
 - (Iv) Over-rating should be eschewed by all Reporting/Countersigning Officers.
 - (V) Vague impressions based on inadequate knowledge or isolated incidents should be avoided.
- 2. The forms are to be filled in duplicate. Part I will be filled by the officer being-reported upon and should be type written. Part II to VI will be filled by the Reporting Officer and Part VII by the Countersigning Officer. Both the Reporting Officer and Countersigning officer should give their assessment of the officer reported upon in respective boxes in Part V: The Regional Centre/Division/Section concerned will fill Part VIII, if any adverse remarks are recorded in the report
- 3. Assessment in the ACR should be confined to the work done by the officer reported upon during the period covered by the report.
- 4. Reporting Officer is expected to counsel the officer being reported upon about his weak points and advise him how to improve Adverse remarks should normally be recorded when the officer fails to improve despite counselling.
- 5. The ACR form should be filled in a neat and tidy manner-Cuttings/erasures should be avoided and must be initialled, where made.
- 6. The ratings in Part II, III. IV & VI should be recorded by initialling the appropriate box.
- 7. For uniform interpretation of qualities etc, listed in Part II, III & IV, the two extreme shades are mentioned against each item. For example an exceptionally bright' officer with 'excellent comprehension' will be rated 'AI' Is 'Intelligence' (box I of Part II) A dull and slow officer will merit a 'B' rating A, B & C rating will denote shades between the above two extremes.
- 8. The ratings should be clear and given in one of the boxes provided for the purpose in Parts II, III, IV & VI, Do not grade an officer between two shades i.c. Between 'Very Good' and 'Good' or 'Good' and 'Average' or 'Average' and Below Average".
- 9. The Countersigning Officer should weigh the remarks of the Reporting Officer against his Personal knowledge of the officer being reported upon, compare him with other officer of the same grade working under different Reporting Officers but under the same Countersigning Officer, and then give his overall assessment in Part VI and remarks in Part III (a) In certain categories of cases remarks of a Second Countersigning Officer may also required to be recorded. The Administration will identity such cases from time to time and also designate an officer as the Second Countersigning Officer for each category The Second Countersigning officer will record his remarks in Part VII (b).
- 10. If the Countersigning Officer differs with the grading or remarks given (in parts other than Part VI) by the Reporting Officer, he should score it out and give his own grading in red ink in Part VI he is required to give his own assessment in addition to that of the Reporting Officer.
- 11. The Countersigning Officer should underline, in red ink, remarks which in his opinion are adverse and should be communicated to the officer reported upon.